

ROUTING OF MOBILE HOSTS IN ADHOC NETWORKS

Nazia Azim, Abdul Majid, Fazlullah Khan*, Muhammad Tahir, Muhammad Safdar, Qamar Jabeen

Department of Computer Science, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan

n.azeem@awkum.edu.pk

*fazlullah@awkum.edu.pk

Abstract-An adhoc network is a collection of wireless mobile host forming a temporary network without the aid of any centralized administration or standard support services. In such an environment, it may be essential for one mobile host to enlist the aid of others in forwarding a packet to its destination, due to the limited propagation range of each mobile host's wireless transmissions. Some previous attempts have been made to use conventional routing protocols for routing in ad hoc networks, treating each mobile host as a router. In this paper author points out a number of problems with conventional design and suggests a new approach based on separate route discovery and route maintenance protocols.

Keywords: Ad Hoc Networks, Routing, Hosts, Route Discovery, Routing Protocols

I. INTRODUCTION

As network connectivity options for use with mobile hosts have increased vividly, including support for a growing number of wireless networking products based on radio and infrared. With this type of mobile computing equipment, there is a natural desire and ability to share information between mobile users. Often, mobile users will face circumstances that are not explicitly planned for and in which no connection to a standard wide-area network such as the Internet is available [1-6]. Requiring each user to connect to a wide-area network in such situations, only to communicate with each other, may not be possible due to lack of facilities, or may be inconvenient or impractical due to the time or expense required for such connection.

Some form of routing protocol is in general necessary in such an environment, since two hosts that may wish to exchange packets might not be able to communicate directly. For example, Figure 1 illustrates a simple ad hoc network of three mobile hosts using wireless network interfaces. Host C is not within the range of host A and host A is not within the range of host C. If A and C wish to exchange packets, they may in this case enlist the services of host B to forward packets for them, since B is within the overlap between A's range and C's range. The maximum number of network hops needed to reach another mobile host in any practical ad hoc network is likely to be small, but may often be greater than one as shown here. The routing problem in a real ad hoc network may be even more complicated than this example suggests, due to the inherent non uniform propagation characteristics of

wireless transmissions and since any or all of the hosts involved may move at any time.

In this paper the author considers the problem of routing in ad hoc networks and suggests a new approach based on separate *route discovery* and *route maintenance* protocols.




Figure 1 An ad hoc network of three wireless mobile hosts

II. CONVENTIONAL ROUTING SOLUTIONS

The author first describes the conventional/natural method (in order to differentiate it with new proposed method) for trying to provide routing in ad hoc network in which it is simply to treat each mobile host as a router and to run a conventional routing protocol between them [8-10]. In effect, mobile host B in Figure 1 acts as a router between the "network" directly reachable by A and the "network" directly reachable by C. Host A transmits its packets for C to B, which then forwards them on to C. Conventional routing protocols are based on either *distance vector* or *linkstate* algorithms [11-14].

The author applied the two routing protocols to the above scenario which has been described below

Using *distance vector* routing, each router maintains a table giving the distance from itself to all possible destinations. Each router periodically broadcasts this information to each of its neighbor routers, and uses the values received from its neighbors to compute updated values for its own table. By comparing the distances received for each destination from each of its neighbors, a router can determine that of its neighbor is the correct "next hop" on the shortest path toward destination. When presented a packet for forwarding to some destination, each router simply forwards the packet to the correct next hop router. By transmitting routing table updates more frequently such as when any information in the table changes, the algorithm converges more quickly to the correct path (for example, when a link comes up or goes

down), but the overhead in CPU time and network bandwidth for transmitting routing updates increases. In *link state* routing, each router maintains a complete picture of the topology of the entire network. Each router monitors the cost of the link to each of its neighbor routers, and periodically broadcasts an update of this information to all other routers in the network. Given this information of the cost of each link in the network, each router computes the shortest path to each possible destination. When presented a packet for forwarding to some destination, each router forwards the packet to the next hop router based on its current best path to that destination. Link state routing protocols converge much more quickly as conditions in the network change, but generally require more CPU time (to compute the complete shortest path to each possible destination) and more network bandwidth (to broadcast the routing update from each router to all other routers in the entire network) than distance vector algorithms. Some recent work can be studied in [36-46].

2.1 PROBLEMS

Although using either type of conventional routing protocol in an ad hoc network, treating each mobile host as a router, may often work, the author observed the below problems:

- Transmission between two hosts over a wireless network does not necessarily work equally well in both directions. Even though host *A* in Figure 1 may receive a routing update from *B* indicating that *B* is closest to *C* and thus would be the first hop on *A*'s shortest path to *C*, host *A* may in fact be unable to transmit a packet back to *B*. Figure 1 represents the transmission range of all hosts as equal and uniform on all sides of the host, but radio and infrared propagation does not always work so nicely in reality. Thus, some routes determined by conventional routing protocols may not work in some environments.
- Many "links" between routers seen by the routing algorithm may be redundant. Rather than a single router (mobile host *B*) between *A* and *C*, there may be many mobile hosts within *A*'s range, all perhaps equally good for forwarding packets to *C*. The redundant paths in the wireless environment unnecessarily increases the size of routing updates that must be sent over the network, and increases the CPU overhead required to process each update and to compute new routes.
- Periodically sending routing updates wastes network bandwidth. Often, nothing will change from one routing update to the next, but each router must continue to send periodic updates so that other routers will continue to consider routes through that router as valid. Many mobile hosts within transmission range of each other, their routing updates will consume each other's network bandwidth.
- Conventional routing protocols are not designed for the type of dynamic topology changes that may be present in ad hoc networks. In conventional networks, links between routers occasionally go down or come up, and sometimes the cost of a link may change due to congestion, but routers do not generally move around

dynamically, shifting major portions of the network topology back and forth. Mobile hosts, though, may be characterized by such dynamic movement, because they are, after all, mobile. Convergence to new, stable routes after such dynamic changes in topology may be quite slow, particularly with distance vector algorithms. The speed of convergence may be improved by sending routing updates more frequently, but such a shift only wastes more bandwidth and battery power when topology changes are less dramatic. Some of these problems can be addressed by improvements to the routing protocol [2] but many of the problems still remain. The author in this paper, instead, takes the view that a new approach to routing in ad hoc networks is needed.

III. ROUTE DISCOVERY AND MAINTENANCE

The problem of routing can be divided into the two areas of *route discovery* and *route maintenance*. In order for one host to communicate with another, it must initially discover a suitable route to use in sending packets to that destination. As long as conditions remain unchanged, this route should then continue to work for as long as it is needed. However, as the status of different links or routers used in this route change, changes in the route may be necessary, or a new route may need to be discovered.

3.1 ROUTE DISCOVERY

A very simple and efficient method of route discovery suitable for use directly in some ad hoc networks is the Internet's Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) [15-19]. ARP is designed for dynamically translating a host's network protocol address (such as an IP address [20-22]) to its MAC-level address (such as an Ethernet address). A host attempting to translate another host's address broadcasts a query packet, which is answered by the target host giving its MAC address; other hosts on the local network receiving the query do not reply. The returned MAC address is then cached by the host for use in sending future packets to this destination. In an ad hoc network, if the source and target mobile hosts are both within transmission range of each other, a simple ARP query is all that is needed to find a "route" to the target host; the returned MAC address may be used directly to transmit packets to that host. In this case, no periodic routing updates are needed, providing substantial savings in network bandwidth and battery power requirements for all involved [47-50].

What is needed to make this approach a general solution to route discovery in ad hoc networks is a technique for extending this to the case in which the source and target may not be within range of each other, while still preserving the simplicity and efficiency of the protocol as much as possible in the case in which they are. One possible solution is to send a request packet (similar to ARP) but to propagate the request using some form of flooding, in order to reach other mobile hosts beyond the sender's transmission range. As the request propagates,

each host adds its own address to a route being recorded in the packet, before broadcasting the request on to its neighbors. When receiving a request, if a host finds its own address already recorded in the route, however, it discards that copy of the request and does not propagate that copy further. This scheme is similar to that used for finding source routes in source routing bridges in IEEE 802 LANs.

To largely eliminateduplicates, each request should contain a unique *request id* from the original sender; each host keeps a cache giving the request id and sender address of recently forwarded requests, and discards a request rather than propagating it if it has already propagated an earlier copy of the same request id. Thus, each host will only propagate the first copy of each request that it receives. This will usually be the copy that came to it along the shortest path from the original sender (since it arrived first), and thus is most useful in finding the shortest path to the final target. This scheme could easily be extended, though, to include the length of the path in the request id cache and to propagate a later copy of the same request if it somehow arrived over a shorter path than the earlier COPY.

Although more than one network hop may be needed to reach another mobile host in an ad hoc network, the maximum number of hops needed is likely to be small [23-25]. The number of duplicate requests propagated can thus be further reduced by limiting the maximum number of hops over which any route discovery packet can be propagated. When processing a received route discovery request, a mobile host should discard the request rather than forwarding it [26-29], if it is not the target of the request and if the route recorded in the packet has already reached the maximum length.

When the query packet ultimately reaches the target host, the complete route from the original sender to this host will have been recorded in the packet. In order to be of use to the original sender, though, the route must then be returned to the sender. The target host may attempt to reverse the recorded route to reach the original sender, or may use the same route discovery procedure to find a route back to the original sender.

The author further simplifies the process by stating that route discovery exchange between the two end mobile hosts could optionally be piggybacked on the first data packets sent between them. For example, when opening a TCP connection, separate packets are usually used to exchange SYN and ACTS control bits between the two end hosts of the connection [20-26]; the route discovery information could easily be carried in these same packets.


If the end mobile hosts use a flow setup protocol to reserve resources or bandwidth for a specified quality of servicebetween them, this route discovery exchange could likewise be integrated with the flow setup exchange. Such a flow setup could also be used to establish state for the route in each of the mobile hosts along the path, so that the entire route need not be included on each packet sent along the path.

Mobile hosts should cache routes discovered in this way for use in sending future packets to that same destination. The author provides a useful idea by making more extensive use of such caching and reducing overhead of the protocol. In this case, no new route discovery is needed for this mobile host to communicate with any of the other mobile hosts named as hops on any of the routes currently in its cache. Also, as a mobile host forwards packets, it will be able to observe many other routes to other mobile hosts, since each packet contains a route. By examining the routes on packets that it forwards, a mobile host may be able to cache routes to new destinations or to obtain updated information to destinations already in its cache.


3.2. ROUTE MAINTENANCE

Conventional routing protocols integrate route discovery with route maintenance by continuously sending the normal periodic routing updates [30,31]. If the status of a link or router changes, the periodic updates will eventually reflect the changes to all other routers, presumably resulting in the computation of new routes. With the separate route discovery approach as outlined in Section 3.1, a link or router going down would instead cause the route to mysteriously stop working with no feedback to the sender. The role of the route maintenance protocol is to provide this feedback, and to allow the route to be modified or a new route to be discovered in this case.

In an ad hoc network, a route may also stop working if one or more of the mobile hosts along the route simply move. For example, Figure 2 illustrates two possible scenarios in which the movement of a mobile host causes an existing route to stop working. Assume that mobile host *A* has been sending packets to mobile host *D* using a route through mobile hosts *B* and *C*. Figure 2 (a) shows the case in which *C* has moved out of range of *B*, breaking the route on to *D*. Figure 2 (b) shows a different scenario in which *C* has moved such that it is now out of range of its next hop on to *D*; in this case, *C* after moving is still within range of *B*, but it has led the route away from *D*.



(a) Host *C* has moved, breaking the route at *B*



(b) Host *C* has moved, leading the route away from *D*

Many wireless networks utilize a hop-by-hop acknowledgement at the data link level in order to provide early detection and retransmission of lost or

corrupted packets. In these networks, the problem of route maintenance is quite simple, since at each hop, the sender can determine if that hop of the route is still working. If the data link level reports a transmission problem for which it cannot recover, all that is needed is to report this error back to the original sender to cause that host to re-invoke the route discovery procedure to find a new route. It may also be possible for the intermediate host experiencing the error to instead use the route discovery procedure itself to extend the existing route on to the correct target.

The author suggests that if wireless network does not support such lower-level acknowledgements, an equivalent acknowledgement signal may be available in many environments. After sending a packet to the next hop mobile host, the sender may be able to hear that host transmitting the packet again, on its way further along the path [32-36]. For example, in Figure 1, host A may be able to hear B's transmission of the packet on to C. In addition, existing packets coming in the reverse direction along the same link could also be used as an acknowledgement that the route is still working. As a last resort, a bit in the packet header could be included to allow a host transmitting a packet to request an explicit acknowledgement from the next-hop receiver. If no other acknowledgement signal has been received in some time from the next hop on some route, the host could use this bit to inexpensively probe the status of this hop on the route.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper author proposed a new method for routing in ad hoc networks based on separate *route discovery* and *route maintenance* protocols. The performance of this approach depends on a number of factors such as how often mobile hosts in such an environment attempt to communicate with other mobile host for which they have no cached route (when route discovery is needed) and how often mobile hosts move enough for existing routes to stop working (when route maintenance is needed). Perhaps the most important factor in the protocol affecting performance is how well the propagation of redundant copies of a route discovery request by any mobile host can be reduced through methods such as discarding a request if this mobile host is already listed on the route in the request or if this mobile host has recently processed a request with this same request id, through limiting the maximum length of a route, and through aggressive route caching and full use of information in the cache.

REFERENCES

- [1] Khan. F., Bashir, F. (2012). *Dual Head Clustering Scheme in Wireless Sensor Networks*. in the IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies (pp. 1-8). Islamabad: IEEE Islamabad.
- [2] Syed Roohullah Jan, Khan. F., Zaman. A., (2015) *The Perception of students about Mobile Learning at University Level*. in the khgresearch.org NO. CONTENTS PAGE NOTurkey, pp.97
- [3] Khan. F., Nakagawa. K. (2012). *Cooperative Spectrum Sensing Techniques in Cognitive Radio Networks*. in the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE), Japan , Vol -1, 2.
- [4] Puthal, D., Nepal, S., Ranjan, R., & Chen, J. (2015). *A Dynamic Key Length Based Approach for Real-Time Security Verification of Big Sensing Data Stream*. In Web Information Systems Engineering–WISE 2015 (pp. 93-108). Springer International Publishing.
- [5] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, Z. Tan, and R. P. Liu, "A robust authentication scheme for observing resources in the internet of things environment," in *13th International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications (TrustCom)*, pp. 205-211, 2014, IEEE.
- [6] John Jubin and Janet D. Tornow. The DARPA packet radio network protocols. Proceedings of the IEEE, 75(1):21-32, January 1987.
- [7] Khan. F., Nakagawa, K. (2012). *Performance Improvement in Cognitive Radio Sensor Networks*. in the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers (IEICE) , 8.
- [8] Puthal, D., Nepal, S., Ranjan, R., & Chen, J. (2015, August). DPBSV--An Efficient and Secure Scheme for Big Sensing Data Stream. InTrustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA, 2015 IEEE (Vol. 1, pp. 246-253). IEEE.
- [9] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, and X. He, "Energy Evaluation Model for an Improved Centralized Clustering Hierarchical Algorithm in WSN," in *Wired/Wireless Internet Communication, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 154–167, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2013.
- [10] Khan. F., Kamal, S. A. (2013). *Fairness Improvement in long-chain Multi-hop Wireless Adhoc Networks*. International Conference on Connected Vehicles & Expo (pp. 1-8). Las Vegas: IEEE Las Vegas, USA.
- [11] Puthal, D., Nepal, S., Ranjan, R., & Chen, J. (2016). A dynamic prime number based efficient security mechanism for big sensing data streams. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*.
- [12] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, and R. P. Liu, "Enhancing lifetime and quality of data in cluster-based hierarchical routing protocol for wireless sensor network," *2013 IEEE International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications & 2013 IEEE International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing (HPCC & EUC)*, pp. 1400-1407, 2013.
- [13] Jabeen. Q., Khan. F., Khan., Shahzad, Jan. M. A., Khan. S.A (2016). *Performance Improvement in Multihop Wireless Mobile Adhoc Networks*. in the Journal Applied, Environmental, and Biological Sciences (JAEBS), Print ISSN: 2090-4274 Online ISSN: 2090-4215
- [14] Khan. F., Nakagawa. K., (2013). *Comparative Study of Spectrum Sensing Techniques in Cognitive Radio Networks*. in IEEE World Congress on Communication and Information Technologies (p. 8). Tunisia: IEEE Tunisia.
- [15] Puthal, D., Sahoo, B., & Sahoo, B. P. S. (2012). Effective Machine to Machine Communications in Smart Grid Networks. *ARPN J. Syst. Softw.*© 2009-2011 AJSS Journal, 2(1), 18-22.
- [16] Khan. F., (2014). *Secure communication and routing architecture in wireless sensor networks*. in the 3rd Global Conference on Consumer Electronics (GCCE) (p. 4). Tokyo, Japan: IEEE Tokyo.
- [17] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, and R. P. Liu, "PASCOC: Priority-based application-specific congestion control clustering protocol," *Computer Networks*, Vol. 74, PP-92-102, 2014.
- [18] Charles E. Perkins and Pravin Bhagwat. Highly Dynamic Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing (DSDV) for Mobile Computers. In Proceedings of the SIGCOMM '94 Conference on Communications Architectures, Protocols and Applications, pages 234- 244, August 1994.
- [19] Radia Perlman. Interconnections: Bridges and Routers. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1992.
- [20] Khan. F., (2014). Fairness and throughput improvement in multihop wireless ad hoc networks. the 27th Annual Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (p. 6). Toronto, Canada: IEEE Toronto.
- [21] Mian Ahmad Jan and Muhammad Khan, "A Survey of Cluster-based Hierarchical Routing Protocols," in *IRACST-International Journal of Computer Networks and Wireless Communications (IJCNWC)*, Vol.3, April. 2013, pp.138-143.

- [22] Khan. S., Khan. F., (2015). *Delay and throughput performance improvement in wireless sensor and actor networks*.in the 5thNational Symposium on Information Technology: Towards New Smart World (NSITNSW) (pp. 1-8). Riyadh: IEEE Riyad Chapter.
- [23] Khan. S., Khan. F., Jabeen. Q., Arif F., Jan. M. A., Khan. S.A (2016). *Performance Improvement in Wireless Sensor and Actor Networks*. in the Journal Applied, Environmental, and Biological Sciences Print ISSN: 2090-4274 Online ISSN: 2090-4215
- [24] Puthal, D., & Sahoo, B. (2012). Secure Data Collection & Critical Data Transmission in Mobile Sink WSN: Secure and Energy efficient data collection technique.
- [25] Mian Ahmad Jan and Muhammad Khan, "Denial of Service Attacks and Their Countermeasures in WSN,"in *IRACST-International Journal of Computer Networks and Wireless Communications (IJCNWC)*, Vol.3, April. 2013.
- [26] David C. Plummer. An Ethernet address resolution protocol: Olr converting network protocol addresses to 48.bit Ethernet addresses for transmission on Ethernet hardware. Internet Request For Comments RFC 826, November 1982.
- [27] Jabeen. Q., F. Khan., Muhammad Nouman Hayat, Haroon Khan, Syed Roohullah Jan, Farman Ullah, " *A Survey : Embedded Systems Supporting By Different Operating Systems*", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology(IJSRSET), Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Volume 2 Issue 2, pp.664-673, March-April 2016. URL : <http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET1622208.php>
- [28] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, and R. P. Liu, "A Sybil Attack Detection Scheme for a Centralized Clustering-based Hierarchical Network," in *Trustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA*, Vol.1, PP-318-325, 2015, IEEE.
- [29] Jabeen. Q., Khan. F., Hayat, M.N., Khan, H., Jan., Syed Roohullah Jan, Ullah, F., (2016) *A Survey : Embedded Systems Supporting By Different Operating Systems* in the International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology(IJSRSET), Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Volume 2 Issue 2, pp.664-673.
- [30] Syed Roohullah Jan, Syed Tauhid Ullah Shah, Zia Ullah Johar, Yasin Shah, Khan. F., "An Innovative Approach to Investigate Various Software Testing Techniques and Strategies", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology(IJSRSET), Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Volume 2 Issue 2, pp.682-689, March-April 2016. URL : <http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET1622210.php>
- [31] Khan. F., Khan. F., Jabeen. Q., Syed Roohullah Jan., Khan. S., (2016) *Applications, Limitations, and Improvements in Visible Light Communication Systems* in the VAWKUM Transaction on Computer Science Vol. 9, Iss.2, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21015/vtcs.v9i2.398>
- [32] J. B. Postel, editor. *Internet Protocol. Internet Request For Comments RFC 79 1*, September 1981.
- [33] Syed Roohullah Jan, Khan. F., Muhammad Tahir, Shahzad Khan., (2016) "Survey: Dealing Non-Functional Requirements At Architecture Level", VFAST Transactions on Software Engineering, (Accepted 2016)
- [34] M. A. Jan, "Energy-efficient routing and secure communication in wireless sensor networks,"*Ph.D. dissertation*, 2016.
- [35] Syed Roohullah Jan, Faheem Dad, Nouman Amin, Abdul Hameed, Syed Saad Ali Shah, " *Issues In Global Software Development (Communication, Coordination and Trust) - A Critical Review*", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology(IJSRSET), Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Volume 2 Issue 2, pp.660-663, March-April 2016. URL : <http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET1622207.php>
- [36] B. Postell, editor. *Transmission Control Protocol. Internet Request For Comments RFC 793*, September 1981
- [37] Azim. N., Qureshi. Y., Khan. F., Tahir. M., Syed Roohullah Jan, Majid. A., (2016) *Offsite One Way Data Replication towards Improving Data Refresh Performance*. in the International Journal of Computer Science and Telecommunications (in press)
- [38] Azim. N., Majid. A., Khan. F., Tahir. M., Syed Roohullah Jan, (2016) *People Factors in Agile Software Development and Project Management*. in the International Journal of Emerging Technology in Computer Science and Electronics (in press)
- [39] Azim. N., Khan. A., Khan. F., Syed Roohullah Jan, Tahir. M., Majid. A. (2016) *Offsite 2-way Data Replication towards Improving Data Refresh Performance*. in the International Journal of Engineering Technology and Applications (in press)
- [40] Azim. N., Ahmad. I., Khan. F., Tahir. M., Majid. A., Syed Roohullah Jan, (2016) *A New Robust Video Watermarking Technique Using H.264/AAC Codec Luma Components Based On DCT*. in the International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education (in press)
- [41] Syed Roohullah Jan, Ullah. F., Khan. F., Azim. N, Tahir. M. (2016) *Using CoAP protocol for Resource Observation in IoT*. in the International Journal of Engineering Technology and Applications (in press)
- [42] Syed Roohullah Jan, Ullah. F., Khan. F., Azim. N, Tahir. M, Safdar, Shahzad. (2016) *Applications and Challenges Faced by Internet of Things- ASurvey*. in the International Journal of Emerging Technology in Computer Science and Electronics (in press)
- [43] Tahir. M., Syed Roohullah Jan, Khan. F., Jabeen. Q., Azim. N., Ullah. F., (2016) *EEC: Evaluation of Energy Consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks*. in the International Journal of Engineering Technology and Applications (in press)
- [44] Tahir. M., Syed Roohullah Jan, Azim. N., Khan. F., Khan. I. A., (2016) *Recommender System on Structured Data*. in the International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education (in press)
- [45] Tahir. M., Khan. F., Syed Roohullah Jan, Khan. I. A., Azim. N., (2016) *Inter-Relationship between Energy Efficient Routing and Secure Communication in WSN*. in the International Journal of Emerging Technology in Computer Science and Electronics (in press)
- [46] Safdar. M., Khan. I. A., Khan. F., Syed Roohullah Jan, Ullah. F., (2016) *Comparative study of routing protocols in Mobile adhoc networks*. in the International Journal of Computer Science and Telecommunications (in press)
- [47] M. A. Jan, M. Usman, P. Nanda, and X. He, "PAWN: A Payload-based mutual Authentication scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks," in *15th IEEE International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications (IEEE TrustCom-16)*, "accepted", 2016.
- [48] M. Usman, M. A. Jan, and X. He, "Cryptography-based Secure Data Storage and Sharing Using HEVC and Public Clouds," *Elsevier Information sciences*, "accepted", 2016.
- [49] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, and R. P. Liu, "A Lightweight Mutual Authentication Scheme for IoT Objects," *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing (TDSC)*, "Submitted", 2016.
- [50] M. A. Jan, P. Nanda, X. He, and R. P. Liu, "A Sybil Attack Detection Scheme for a Forest Wildfire Monitoring Application," *Elsevier Future Generation Computer Systems (FGCS)*, "Submitted", 2016.